

35

by Dian P

Submission date: 16-Sep-2019 06:54PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1173659779

File name: Lampiran_35.pdf (612.48K)

Word count: 5682

Character count: 28816



The measurement of Physical Workload and Mental Workload Level of Medical Personnel

Dian Palupi Restuputri *, Anindia Karunia Pangesti, Annisa Kesya Garside

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Muhammadiyah Malang
Jl. Raya Tlogomas No.246 Malang, Jawa Timur, Phone: +62 341 464 318, Fax: +62 341 460 435

* Corresponding author: restuputri@umm.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received December 23, 2018

Revised February 20, 2019

Accepted February 25, 2019

Available Online February 28, 2019

Keywords

Physical workload

Mental workload

NASA-TLX

Heart rate

Medical personnel

ABSTRACT

As the primary health reference center, a hospital is required to provide excellent quality services to each patient. The impact of the extreme physical and mental workload cause negligence in activities. It affects the quality of services provided by medical personnel. This study investigated the level of mental workload and physical workload in medical staff in a hospital. This study was conducted at 15 units in the hospital. The mental workload was assessed using the NASA-TLX Questionnaire. The physical workload analysis was carried out with the Heart Rate reserve percentage of medical personnel. The results of this study show that the mental workload on nurses in the ICU is higher than the other units. Whereas the calculation of physical load using % HR reserve turns out the head of the nurse in-unit class 1 has the highest value compared to the others unit. The statistical analysis showed there was a difference in the general practitioner workload toward the Head of the Nursing.



This is an open-access article under the CC-BY-SA license.



1. Introduction

In ergonomics, the workload must be appropriate physical abilities, cognitive abilities, as well as limitations [1]. The workload is divided into two categories. The first is the physical workload. It occurs if there difference workload toward the physically workers' ability. The second is the mental workload. It is the difference between mental workload and psychic abilities workers. The workload related to worker fatigue. Several activities effect fatigue included working too hard, the wrong of posture, and inappropriate conditions. The workload is influenced by factors external and internal. Physiologically, mental activity is a type of work with low caloric. However, mental activity is substantial than physical activity as it involves the brain [1]. The mental activity involved information received action, perception, interpretation, to a decision-making activity [2].

Medical personnel is responsible for patients. However, their activity also allows for stress. Stress on medical personnel influence work performance. Adverse physical and mental conditions affect their work. It influences the quality of services to patients. According to Colligan, et al. [3], commonly, error rates of medical personnel are in the



<https://doi.org/10.22219/JTIUMM.Vol20.No1.34-44>



<http://ejournal.umm.ac.id/index.php/industri>



ti.jurnal@umm.ac.id

Please cite this article as: Restuputri, D., Pangesti, A., & Garside, A. (2019). The measurement of Physical Workload and Mental Workload Level of Medical Personnel. Jurnal Teknik Industri, 20(1), 34-44..
doi:<https://doi.org/10.22219/JTIUMM.Vol20.No1.34-44>

intensive care unit (ICU), Radiation Oncology (RO) and emergency department (ED). Physiological and psychological factors make medical errors occur. Some causes included increased workload, fatigue, ineffective communication, and wrong information [4, 5]. The nurse is a work that requires productive activity and little error [3, 6]. Changing of nurse mental workload affected patient health and safety [7, 8]. Some studies on mental workload in the health sector have been carried out such as Mazur, et al. [9], [10], [11], Walters and Webb [12], [13], [14] and Liang, et al. [15]. However, in previous studies, the assessment of mental workload carried out in one work unit. To our knowledge, there is no study about to assessment the mental workload for the complete hospital unit.

Ergonomics aims to increase efficiency, health, safety, and comfort of human in the workplace [16-18]. In ergonomics, the researcher can measure physical workload and mental workload. The relationship between mental workload and physical workload attract attention researcher. On some activity, physical and mental tasks increase the workload [19-21]. Mental workload is a factor that affects of performance of an activity. Some of these mental tasks required concentration, attention, memory, planning, and decision making [22]. Therefore, mental workload influence in fatigue and it increase operating errors [23]. It correlates with workplace factors and physical workload as one of the hazards [24, 25].

The mental workload and effects on the performance of physical workload toward worker must measure [22]. Therefore, this research aims to measure the mental workload and the physical workload of medical personnel. Physical workload evaluation is conducted with a percentage of % Heart Rate Reserve (HR Reserve) medical personnel [26-29]. It is done to determine the beats per minute based on the maximum pulse, work pulse, and resting pulse. The measurement of mental workload used the NASA-TLX method [30]. National Aeronautics and Space Administration Task Load Index (NASA-TLX) is tools to assess the workload of individuals. The NASA-TLX have a six-item. Initially, It used to measure workload in the laboratory, aviation. Furthermore, it has been applied to workload measurement several sectors such as nuclear energy, transportation, and in health care [30-35].

2. Methodology

In this research, workload measurement is divided into 2. The first is the physical workload, and the second is the mental workload. The technique used is described as follows:

2.1 Measure physical Workload

The medical personnel physical workload was measured using pulse rate. Heart rate is used to measure physical activity levels. Commonly, it is average of beats per minute [28]. We measured the pulse of the worker before work. Furthermore, they take a rest 30 minutes. The Karvonen Formula was used to measure the intensity of activity [36] (see equation (1) and (2)). The Heart Rate Reserve (HR Reverse) expressed in percentage is formulated in equation (3). Furthermore, there are five classifications in % HR Reverse. Less than 30% indicate no fatigue. 30% -60% describe the need for improvement. 60%-80% describe work in no time. 80%-100% describe urgent action is required. And, more than 100 describe no activity allowed.

$$\text{Maximum heart rate} = 220 - \text{age} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Heart rate reserve} = \text{Maximum heart rate} - \text{resting heart rate} \quad (2)$$



$$\% HR Reserve = \frac{Work\ heart\ rate - resting\ heart\ rate}{Maximum\ heart\ rate - resting\ heart\ rate} \quad (3)$$

In addition, we calculated the energy consumption of medical personnel activities. It carried out to measure the level of activity. There is 5 level of activity such as Unduly Heavy, Very Heavy, Heavy, Moderate, Light, and Very Light. Formula energy consumption is shown in equation (4) and (5). In Equation (4) describe Energy consumption for certain activities. Equation (5) represents Energy expenditure during working time (*Kkal/minute*). *KE* describes Energy consumption for specific activities (*Kkal/minute*). *Et* show Energy expenditure during working time (*Kkal/minute*). *Ei* describes Energy expenditure during rest time (*Kkal/minute*).

$$KE = Et - Ei \quad (4)$$

$$Et = 1,80411 - 0,0229038 (x) + 4,71733 * 10^{-4} (x)^2 \quad (5)$$

2.2 Mental Measure Workload by NASA-TLX Methods

NASA-TLX instruments were given to respondents after they complete activities. The researcher explains NASA-TLX Instruments to respondents. It carried out to ensure appropriate answer respondent. The workload is measured using procedures developed by Hart and Staveland [30]. Table 1 describes the NASA-TLX Rating Scale and Definitions. NASA-TLX procedure is explained as follows: 1). Weighting: NASA-TLX questionnaire given to responde¹⁸; contained paired questions. In NASA TLX method, there are six indicators such as Mental Demand (MD), Physical Demand (PD), Temporal Demand (TD), Performance (P), Effort (EF), and Frustration (F).the questionnaire has a low to the high rating (0-5). In this section, respondents choose the dominant indicator that causes workload. 2). Provision Rating: In this section, the respondents are asked to rate the six mental workload indicators. The rating is given depending on the mental workload of the respondent. The score is between 0 to 100. In the mental load score, the weights and ratings for each multiplied indicator are then summed and divided by 15 (the number of pairwise comparisons). 3). Calculating the value of the product: this value is obtained by multiplying the rating by the factor weight for each indicator. 4). Calculated Weight Workload (WWL): Sum all weighted workload of product. 5). Calculated WWL Score: Calculate the average of weighted workload¹⁹. 6). Score Interpretation :The score interpretation based on calculated WWL are low (0-9); medium (10-29); Rather high (30-49); High (50-79); very high (80-100).

Table 1. NASA-TLX Rating Scale and Definitions

Workload Component	Endpoints	Definitions
Mental demand (MD)	Low to high	The mental and perceptual activity required by a task
Physical demand (PD)	Low to high	The physical activity associated with a task
Temporal demand (TD)	Low to high	The time pressure associated with the rate or pace required
Effort (EFs)	Low to high	The mental and physical work required to perform the task at a certain level
Frustration (F)	Low to high	Refers to the continuum of stress and contentment associated with task completion
Performance (P)	Good to poor	The degree of success or satisfaction felt upon the performance or completion of a given task

2.3 Data collecting

Respondents in this study were medical personnel with criteria 1.) Experienced working for more than one year. Therefore, they were personnel who know the level of difficulty of the work. 2). The medical staff was the right, physically, and spiritually condition. The study was conducted on 15 unit of medical personnel. Physical Workload and Mental Workload were assessed from July 2017 through August 2018 in Malang Indonesia hospital. Total respondents in this study were 15. Respondents in this research were 5 general practitioner, Head of Emergency Room Nursing, Head of Child Nursing, Head of Special Service Unit for Stroke Nursing, Head of ICU Nursing, Head of Class 1 Nursing, Head of Class 2 Nursing, Head of Class 3 Nursing, Head of VIP Class Nursing, Head of Childbirth Nursing and Head of Surgery Nursing.

2.4 Data analysis

We compared the Mental workload of the General Practitioner toward Head of the Nurse. It was carried out using the independent sample t-test [37, 38]. We used Minitab version 14 to solve independent sample t-test. We have hypotheses there are mental workload differences between a general practitioner and head of nursing.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Physical workload measurement

Table 2 show Pulse Rate of Medical Personnel. Furthermore, Pulse Rate is used to measure energy consumption. Result of energy expenditure and energy consumption is shown in Table 3. Overall, Energy Expenditure respondents were category lightly. Although category light, activity in medical give concentration [22]. Therefore, Heart Rate Reserve show some respondent in Need improvement category (Table 4). They are General practitioner 1, Head of ICU Nursing, Head of Class 1 Nursing, Head of Class 2 Nursing, and Head of Surgery Nursing. Moreover, respondents were category no fatigue.

Table 2. Pulse Rate of Medical Personnel

Respondent	Age (years)	Pulse Rate (beat/minute)		
		before Work	after Work	after Rest
General practitioner 1	35	74	103	67
General practitioner 2	39	78	97	85
General practitioner 3	37	79	94	83
General practitioner 4	35	78	90	80
General practitioner 5	35	79	96	85
Head of ER Nursing	35	80	100	90
Head of Child Nursing	35	83	104	94
Head of Stroke Nursing	42	79	94	84
Head of ICU Nursing	37	83	110	83
Head of Class 1 Nursing	35	79	99	76
Head of Class 2 Nursing	37	76	100	74
Head of Class 3 Nursing	40	76	102	80
Head of VIP Class Nursing	42	80	100	85
Head of Childbirth Nursing	43	83	101	80
Head of Surgery Nursing	40	79	104	78



Table 3. Energy Expenditure

Respondent	Et	Ei	KE	Catagory
	After Work	After Rest		
General practitioner 1	4,4	2,4	2,1	Light
General practitioner 2	4,0	3,3	0,8	Light
General practitioner 3	3,8	3,1	0,7	Light
General practitioner 4	3,5	3,0	0,6	Light
General practitioner 5	3,9	3,3	0,7	Light
Head of ER Nursing	4,2	3,5	0,7	Light
Head of Child Nursing	4,5	3,8	0,7	Light
Head of Stroke Nursing	3,8	3,2	0,6	Light
Head of ICU Nursing	5,0	3,1	1,8	Light
Head of Class 1 Nursing	4,1	2,8	1,4	Light
Head of Class 2 Nursing	4,2	2,7	1,5	Light
Head of Class 3 Nursing	4,4	3,0	1,4	Light
Head of VIP Class Nursing	4,2	3,3	1,0	Light
Head of Childbirth Nursing	4,3	3,0	1,3	Light
Head of Surgery Nursing	4,5	2,9	1,6	Light

Table 4. Heart Rate Reserve (HR Reserve)

Respondent	HR after Work	HR after Rest	% of HR reserve	Catagory
	(beat/min)	(beat/min)		
General practitioner 1	103	67	30,50%	Need improvement
General practitioner 2	97	85	12,50%	No fatigue
General practitioner 3	94	83	11%	No fatigue
General practitioner 4	90	80	9,52%	No fatigue
General practitioner 5	96	85	11%	No fatigue
Head of ER Nursing	100	90	9,52%	No fatigue
Head of Child Nursing	104	94	14%	No fatigue
Head of Stroke Nursing	94	84	13,40%	No fatigue
Head of ICU Nursing	110	83	33,75%	Need improvement
Head of Class 1 Nursing	99	76	39,32%	Need improvement
Head of Class 2 Nursing	100	74	31,11%	Need improvement
Head of Class 3 Nursing	102	80	25,54%	No fatigue
Head of VIP Class Nursing	100	85	28,92%	No fatigue
Head of Childbirth Nursing	101	80	27,27%	No fatigue
Head of Surgery Nursing	104	78	31,70%	Need improvement

3.2 Mental workload measurement

Some data was collected to measure mental workload. These data included the Paired Comparison and the rating score. Table 5 shows the Paired Comparison of General Practitioner. Table 6 describes the head of nursing paired comparison data. Table 7 shows a rating score of a general practitioner. Table 8 describes the rating score of the head of nursing. Moreover, data were used to measure WWL and average WWL. Table 9 shows the result of WWL and WWL average from General Practitioner. Table 10 describes the Result of WWL and WWL average from the head of nursing. Furthermore, the classification of medical personnel based on Nasa TLX Analysis is shown in Table 11. NASA-TLX measurement results showed mental workloads were categorized as hard and

very hard. These results are by the research of Liang, et al. [15], and [Mazur, et al. [9], 10, 11]. Therefore, medical work poses a significant mental workload.

Table 5. Paired Comparison of General Practitioner

General Practitioner	Indicator						Total
	MD	PD	TD	P	F	EF	
Respondent 1	5	2	1	4	0	3	15
Respondent 2	3	3	0	5	1	3	15
Respondent 3	4	1	1	5	1	3	15
Respondent 4	4	2	1	5	0	3	15
Respondent 5	2	2	3	3	2	3	15

Table 6. Head of Nursing Paired Comparison

Head of Nursing	Indicator						Total
	MD	PD	TD	P	F	EF	
(ER) Emergency Room	2	3	2	4	0	4	15
Children Room	3	3	3	0	1	5	15
Stroke	3	4	1	4	0	3	15
ICU Room	2	4	4	2	0	3	15
Class 1 Room	4	2	3	3	0	3	15
Class 2 Room	3	3	2	3	2	2	15
Class 3 Room	2	4	3	1	0	5	15
VIP Room	3	2	1	4	0	5	15
Childbirth Room	3	2	3	2	0	5	15
Surgery Room	3	2	5	2	0	3	15

Table 7. Rating Score of General Practitioner

General Practitioner	Indicator					
	MD	PD	TD	P	F	EF
Respondent 1	90	90	80	80	30	90
Respondent 2	60	70	70	80	70	70
Respondent 3	50	50	50	70	70	70
Respondent 4	50	50	50	70	70	70
Respondent 5	70	70	70	70	70	70

Table 8. Rating Score of Head of Nursing

Head of Nursing	Indicator					
	MD	PD	TD	P	F	EF
(ER) Emergency Room	20	70	70	90	20	90
Children Room	70	60	60	90	50	90
Stroke	50	60	60	70	50	70
ICU Room	90	100	90	100	20	90
Class 1 Room	80	60	90	90	70	90
Class 2 Room	90	90	80	90	60	90
Class 3 Room	90	90	80	80	70	80
VIP Room	80	80	80	100	30	100
Childbirth Room	80	80	90	80	70	90
Surgery Room	70	100	90	90	80	90



Table 9. Result of WWL and WWL average from General Practitioner

General Practitioner	Indicator						WWL	WWL Average
	MD	PD	TD	P	F	EF		
respondent 1	450	180	80	320	0	270	1300	87
respondent 2	180	210	0	400	70	210	1070	71
respondent 3	200	50	50	350	70	210	930	62
respondent 4	200	100	50	350	0	210	910	61
respondent 5	140	140	210	210	140	210	1050	70

Table 10. Result of WWL and WWL average from Head of Nursing

Head of Nursing	Indicator						WWL	WWL Average
	MD	PD	TD	P	F	EF		
Emergency Room (ER)	40	210	140	360	0	360	1110	74
Children Room	210	180	180	0	50	450	1070	71
Head of Stroke	150	240	60	280	0	210	940	63
ICU Room	180	400	360	200	0	270	1410	94
Class 1 Room	320	120	270	270	0	270	1250	83
Class 2 Room	270	270	160	270	120	180	1270	85
Class 3 Room	180	360	240	80	0	400	1260	84
VIP Room	240	160	80	400	0	500	1380	92
Childbirth Room	240	160	270	160	0	450	1280	85
Surgery Room	210	200	450	180	0	270	1310	87

Table 11. The Classification of Medical Personnel Based on NASA TLX Analysis

Respondent	Mental Workload Value	Category
General practitioner 1	87	Very high
General practitioner 2	71	High
General practitioner 3	62	High
General practitioner 4	61	High
General practitioner 5	70	High
Head of ER Nursing	74	High
Head of Child Nursing	71	High
Head of Stroke Nursing	63	High
Head of ICU Nursing	94	Very high
Head of Class 1 Nursing	83	Very high
Head of Class 2 Nursing	85	Very high
Head of Class 3 Nursing	84	Very high
Head of VIP Class Nursing	92	Very high
Head of Childbirth Nursing	85	Very high
Head of Surgery Nursing	87	Very high

Table 12. Independent sample t-test of the mental workload

	General practitioner	Head of Nursing	df	t value	t-table
Std Deviasion	86,94	83,76	8	-2,140	2,306
Rata-rata	81,8	70,2			
Respondent	5	10			

20

The result of compare means independent sample t-test is $t_{count} < t_{table}$ (Table 12). Therefore, the initial hypothesis is accepted. The mental workload of general practitioners is different from the head of nursing. The results of this study show that the mental workload on nurses in the ICU is higher than the other units. However, the value of % HR reserve in the head of the nurse in class 1 has the highest amount compared to the unit others. The results of this study harmonized by Mazur, et al. [34]. Tubbs-Cooley, et al. [35] also found high correlations mental workload in ICU nurse. It is also proved that research Hoonakker, et al. [39] and Colligan, et al. [3]. Therefore NASA TLX is a useful tool to measure mental workload [40]. NASA-TLX is helpful to measure the mental workload on medical personnel. Although the results obtained in previous studies vary, it is caused by various factors. Some factors included such as the practical design of work, resources available, a culture of teamwork and collaboration, and employee prosperity [7, 41].

4. Conclusion

The measurement results of physical workload medical personnel are a mild category. However, for mental workload measurement, The General practitioner mental workload is category high. Furthermore, heads of nursing were classified in a very high grade. In mental and physical workload, nurses produced the highest score. They always are alert and responsive in helping patients. Hence, the workload in ICU nurses highest. Some that activity is encouraging, attracting, controlling, and operating medical devices. In addition, The highest physical workload is in nurses class 1. In this class 1, head of nursing must focus on helping patients, and they always fast in helping patients. Moreover, they are required to still work quickly to helped patients properly. For future work, we suggestions for the next researcher. Some future research included investigation of the physical and mental workload in another field such as Pharmacy and front officer in hospital. Furthermore, the future researcher can use another method the physical and mental workload.

References

- [1] S. H. Tarwaka and L. Sudiajeng, *Ergonomi untuk keselamatan, kesehatan kerja dan produktivitas*. Surakarta: UNIBA PRESS, 2004.
- [2] E. Grandjean and K. H. Kroemer, *Fitting the task to the human: a textbook of occupational ergonomics*: CRC press, 1997.
- [3] L. Colligan, H. W. Potts, C. T. Finn, and R. A. Sinkin, "Cognitive workload changes for nurses transitioning from a legacy system with paper documentation to a commercial electronic health record," *International journal of medical informatics*, vol. 84, pp. 469-476, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2015.03.003>
- [4] S. Barnes, "The state of ambulatory surgery and perianesthesia nursing," *Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing*, vol. 16, pp. 347-352, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1053/jpan.2001.28892>
- [5] P. Kiekkas, M. Pouloupoulou, A. Papahatz, C. Androutsopoulou, M. Maliouki, and A. Prinou, "Workload of postanesthesia care unit nurses and intensive care overflow," *British journal of nursing*, vol. 14, pp. 434-438, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2005.14.8.17935>
- [6] M. C. Sitterding, M. E. Broome, L. Q. Everett, and P. Ebright, "Understanding situation awareness in nursing work: A hybrid concept analysis," *Advances in*



- Nursing Science*, vol. 35, pp. 77-92, 2012.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/ANS.0b013e3182450158>
- [7] P. Carayon and A. P. Gürses, "A human factors engineering conceptual framework of nursing workload and patient safety in intensive care units," *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, vol. 21, pp. 284-301, 2005.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2004.12.003>
- [8] R. Morris, P. MacNeela, A. Scott, P. Treacy, and A. Hyde, "Reconsidering the conceptualization of nursing workload: literature review," *Journal of advanced Nursing*, vol. 57, pp. 463-471, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2006.04134.x>
- [9] L. Mazur, P. Mosley, M. Jackson, S. Chang, K. D. Burkhardt, R. Adams, *et al.*, "Quantitative Assessment of Workload and Stressors in Clinical Radiation Oncology: A Step toward Improving Patient Safety," *International Journal of Radiation Oncology • Biology • Physics*, vol. 81, pp. S140-S141, 2011.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.06.288>
- [10] L. M. Mazur, P. R. Mosaly, L. M. Hoyle, E. L. Jones, and L. B. Marks, "Subjective and objective quantification of physician's workload and performance during radiation therapy planning tasks," *Practical radiation oncology*, vol. 3, pp. e171-e177, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prro.2013.01.001>
- [11] P. R. Mosaly, L. M. Mazur, E. L. Jones, L. Hoyle, T. Zagar, B. S. Chera, *et al.*, "Quantifying the impact of cross coverage on physician's workload and performance in radiation oncology," *Practical radiation oncology*, vol. 3, pp. e179-e186, 2013.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prro.2013.02.007>
- [12] C. Walters and P. J. Webb, "Maximizing Efficiency and Reducing Robotic Surgery Costs Using the NASA Task Load Index," *AORN journal*, vol. 106, pp. 283-294, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aorn.2017.08.004>
- [13] R. J. Holden, N. R. Patel, M. C. Scanlon, T. M. Shalaby, J. M. Arnold, and B.-T. Karsh, "Effects of mental demands during dispensing on perceived medication safety and employee well-being: A study of workload in pediatric hospital pharmacies," *Research in social and administrative Pharmacy*, vol. 6, pp. 293-306, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2009.10.001>
- [14] R. J. Holden, M. C. Scanlon, N. R. Patel, R. Kaushal, K. H. Escoto, R. L. Brown, *et al.*, "A human factors framework and study of the effect of nursing workload on patient safety and employee quality of working life," *BMJ quality & safety*, vol. 20, pp. 15-24, 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs.2008.028381>
- [15] S.-F. M. Liang, C.-L. Rau, P.-F. Tsai, and W.-S. Chen, "Validation of a task demand measure for predicting mental workloads of physical therapists," *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, vol. 44, pp. 747-752, 2014.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2014.08.002>
- [16] A. N. Bintang and S. K. Dewi, "Analisa Postur Kerja Menggunakan Metode OWAS dan RULA," *Jurnal Teknik Industri*, vol. 18, pp. 43-54, 2017.
<https://doi.org/10.22219/JTIUMM.Vol18.No1.43-54>
- [17] D. P. Restuputri, "Metode REBA Untuk Pencegahan Musculoskeletal Disorder Tenaga Kerja," *Jurnal Teknik Industri*, vol. 18, pp. 19-28, 2017.
<https://doi.org/10.22219/JTIUMM.Vol18.No1.19-28>
- [18] D. P. Restuputri, "Penilaian Risiko Gangguan Musculoskeletal Disorder Pekerja Batik Dengan Menggunakan Metode Strain index," *Jurnal Teknik Industri*, vol. 19, pp. 97-106, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.22219/JTIUMM.Vol19.No1.97-106>
- [19] M. Boele-Vos, J. Commandeur, and D. Twisk, "Effect of physical effort on mental workload of cyclists in real traffic in relation to age and use of pederlecs," *Accident*

- Analysis & Prevention*, vol. 105, pp. 84-94, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2016.11.025>
- [20] T. Takken, A. Ribbink, H. Heneweer, H. Moolenaar, and H. Wittink, "Workload demand in police officers during mountain bike patrols," *Ergonomics*, vol. 52, pp. 245-250, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130802334553>
- [21] J. Theurel, A. Theurel, and R. Lepers, "Physiological and cognitive responses when riding an electrically assisted bicycle versus a classical bicycle," *Ergonomics*, vol. 55, pp. 773-781, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2012.671964>
- [22] E. Darvishi and M. Meimanatabadi, "The rate of subjective mental workload and its correlation with musculoskeletal disorders in bank staff in Kurdistan, Iran," *Procedia Manufacturing*, vol. 3, pp. 37-42, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.105>
- [23] C.-J. Chen, Y.-T. Dai, Y.-M. Sun, Y.-C. Lin, and Y.-J. Juang, "Evaluation of auditory fatigue in combined noise, heat and workload exposure," *Industrial Health*, vol. 45, pp. 527-534, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.45.527>
- [24] R. L. Charles and J. Nixon, "Measuring mental workload using physiological measures: A systematic review," *Applied ergonomics*, vol. 74, pp. 221-232, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2018.08.028>
- [25] R. K. Mehta and P. Parijat, "Associations between psychosocial risk factors and musculoskeletal disorders: application to the IT profession in India," *Work*, vol. 41, pp. 2438-2444, 2012. <https://content.iospress.com/articles/work/wor0477>
- [26] S. E. Chappel, S. J. Verswijveren, B. Aisbet, J. Considine, and N. D. Ridgers, "Nurses' occupational physical activity levels: A systematic review," *International journal of nursing studies*, vol. 73, pp. 52-62, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2017.05.006>
- [27] P. Coenen, M. Korshøj, D. M. Hallman, M. A. Huysmans, A. J. van der Beek, L. M. Straker, et al., "Differences in heart rate reserve of similar physical activities during work and in leisure time—A study among Danish blue-collar workers," *Physiology & behavior*, vol. 186, pp. 45-51, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.01.011>
- [28] S. Y. Jae, S. Kurl, J. A. Laukkanen, F. Zaccardi, Y.-H. Choi, B. Fernhall, et al., "Exercise heart rate reserve and recovery as predictors of incident type 2 diabetes," *The American journal of medicine*, vol. 129, pp. 536. e7-536. e12, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2016.01.014>
- [29] A. W. Taylor and M. J. Johnson, *Physiology of exercise and healthy aging: Human Kinetics*, 2008.
- [30] S. G. Hart and L. E. Staveland, "Development of NASA-TLX (Task Load Index): Results of empirical and theoretical research," in *Advances in psychology*, vol. 52, ed: Elsevier, 1988, pp. 139-183.
- [31] S. G. Hart, "NASA-task load index (NASA-TLX); 20 years later," in *Proceedings of the human factors and ergonomics society annual meeting*, 2006, pp. 904-908. <https://doi.org/10.1177/154193120605000909>
- [32] S.-L. Hwang, Y.-J. Yau, Y.-T. Lin, J.-H. Chen, T.-H. Huang, T.-C. Yenn, et al., "Predicting work performance in nuclear power plants," *Safety science*, vol. 46, pp. 1115-1124, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2007.06.005>
- [33] C. J. Jacobson Jr, S. Bolon, N. Elder, B. Schroer, G. Matthews, J. P. Szaflarski, et al., "Temporal and subjective work demands in office-based patient care: an exploration of the dimensions of physician work intensity," *Medical care*, pp. 52-58, 2011. https://www.jstor.org/stable/25767035?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents



- [34] L. M. Mazur, P. R. Mosaly, M. Jackson, S. X. Chang, K. D. Burkhardt, R. L. Adams, *et al.*, "Quantitative assessment of workload and stressors in clinical radiation oncology," *International Journal of Radiation Oncology* Biology* Physics*, vol. 83, pp. e571-e576, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.01.063>
- [35] H. L. Tubbs-Cooley, C. A. Mara, A. C. Carle, and A. P. Gurses, "The NASA Task Load Index as a measure of overall workload among neonatal, paediatric and adult intensive care nurses," *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, vol. 46, pp. 64-69, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2018.01.004>
- [36] L. Goldberg, D. L. Elliot, and K. S. Kuehl, "Assessment of exercise intensity formulas by use of ventilatory threshold," *Chest*, vol. 94, pp. 95-98, 1988. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.94.1.95>
- [37] Y.-c. Feng, Y.-c. Huang, and X.-m. Ma, "The application of Student's t-test in internal quality control of clinical laboratory," *Frontiers in Laboratory Medicine*, vol. 1, pp. 125-128, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flm.2017.09.002>
- [38] R. Rivas-Ruiz, M. Pérez-Rodríguez, and J. O. Talavera, "Clinical research XV. From the clinical judgment to the statistical model. Difference between means. Student's t test," *Revista Médica del Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social*, vol. 51, pp. 300-303, 2013. <http://www.medigraphic.com/cgi-bin/new/resumenI.cgi?ARTICULO=41707>
- [39] P. Hoonakker, P. Carayon, A. P. Gurses, R. Brown, A. Khunlertkit, K. McGuire, *et al.*, "Measuring workload of ICU nurses with a questionnaire survey: the NASA Task Load Index (TLX)," *IIE transactions on healthcare systems engineering*, vol. 1, pp. 131-143, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19488300.2011.609524>
- [40] A. C. Gregg, "Relationship among subjective mental workload, experience, and education of cardiovascular critical care registered nurses," 1994. <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=5717046>
- [41] S. Stone-Griffith, J. D. Englebright, D. Cheung, K. M. Korwek, and J. B. Perlin, "Data-driven process and operational improvement in the emergency department: the ED Dashboard and Reporting Application," *Journal of Healthcare Management*, vol. 57, pp. 167-181, 2012. https://journals.lww.com/jhmonline/Abstract/2012/05000/Data_Driven_Process_and_Operational_Improvement_in.6.aspx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

5%

INTERNET SOURCES

6%

PUBLICATIONS

7%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

Submitted to Intercollege

Student Paper

1%

2

Submitted to University of Auckland

Student Paper

1%

3

Dmitry M. Davydov. "Health in medicine: The lost graal", Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2018

Publication

1%

4

Jian Kang Wu, Zhipei Huang, Zhiqiang Zhang, Wendong Xiao, Hong Jiang. "Quantitative Assessment of Autonomic Regulation of the Cardiac System", Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2019

Publication

1%

5

Daisuke Horiguchi, Hiroki Naito, Ken Sasaki. "Motion Artifact Compensation for Wristwatch Type Photoplethysmography Sensor", Key Engineering Materials, 2012

Publication

1%

Submitted to Queensland University of

6

Technology

Student Paper

1 %

7

dergipark.org.tr

Internet Source

1 %

8

Mark C. Schall, Nathan B. Fethke, Howard Chen. "Working postures and physical activity among registered nurses", Applied Ergonomics, 2016

Publication

<1 %

9

www.bjorl.org

Internet Source

<1 %

10

Submitted to Navitas Professional Institute Pty Ltd

Student Paper

<1 %

11

Submitted to University of Wales, Bangor

Student Paper

<1 %

12

Sevistha Ginting, Putri Mei, Juliana, Nur Fitriana, Iskandar Muda. "Analysis of Boiler Operation Workload in Salted Fish Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs)", IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2019

Publication

<1 %

13

www.caluniv.ac.in

Internet Source

<1 %

14

Submitted to Columbia Southern University

Student Paper

<1 %

15

aprendeenlinea.udea.edu.co

Internet Source

<1 %

16

www.liebertpub.com

Internet Source

<1 %

17

comm.irsst.qc.ca

Internet Source

<1 %

18

H. S. VITENSE, J. A. JACKO, V. K. EMERY.
"Multimodal feedback: an assessment of
performance and mental workload",
Ergonomics, 2010

Publication

<1 %

19

Alexandre M. Campelo, Jawad A. Hashim,
Alanna Weisberg, Larry Katz. "Virtual
Rehabilitation in the elderly: Benefits, issues,
and considerations", 2017 International
Conference on Virtual Rehabilitation (ICVR),
2017

Publication

<1 %

20

Submitted to Aston University

Student Paper

<1 %

21

Submitted to University of Hertfordshire

Student Paper

<1 %

22

Filipe S. Cardoso, Nuno Germano, Luís Bento,

Philip Fortuna. "Time of admission to intensive care unit, strained capacity, and mortality: A retrospective cohort study", Journal of Critical Care, 2019

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off